ide, P. E. I., and two speciidicates a wide distribution.

TTO COAST.—The following iond Roberts, from a former ragua, Sept. 27, 1900. This ea, is a net-work of lagoons, nd is mostly swampy, inunon. In fact, this Mosquito d solid-looking, is in reality ive. Hence, so far as I am Bulimulidæ and other land miles, and also south and elevated, I believe there is the very coast I have found Is lost. Bulimulus corneus Also another lot, which I 1 in abundance. Another paringly. These last two over, is a good locality for cipulka, just twenty miles e Donax cayennensis Lam.

VED.

Annual Rep. of the State This catalogue is intended esent state of knowledge presented in the fauna of aphy, and illustrations of m the Smithsonian series xcept the Unionidæ, most figures only rarely represh.

d, 55 aquatic gastropods, ion shows the Ohio and

Wabash basins to be by far the richest in species, the Lake Michigan basin poorest, though with a good representation of Limnwide.

The catalogue is interesting and useful, though it would be better, we think, if Dr. Call had followed modern classification, and had adopted the rectifications regarding many species which have been made in the last decade. He apparently thinks that progress in the anatomical and systematic study of Mollusks abruptly stopped fifteen or twenty years ago, as no innovations of later date are adopted, except a few, mostly wrong, made by himself. Aside from these matters, there are but few errors, and these not of grave consequence; a figure of Strobilops is given for Zonites fulvus (p. 376); Tebennophorus dorsalis is said to be "the most common slug in Indiana," though we think what he bad was dark Agriolimax campestris. We note also that the descriptions of Lamarck's Unios are quoted not from the original but from the Deshayes edition, and the accents of the French remarks are badly "balled up."—H. A. P.

Unionide of Indiana.—In Mr. Call's Descriptive Catalogue of the Mollusca of Indiana, the author repudiates the attempt at a natural classification of the Unionide made in Mr. Baker's Mollusca of the Chicago Area, and cannot realize that such a system is proposed seriously. He cannot understand why, for instance, such a form as Unio trigonus is placed in the same subgenus as Unio plicatus.

Now it is a fact that has been repeatedly demonstrated by Dr. Lea's, Dr. Sterki's and my own observations of the anatomy of these mollusks that $\mathit{Unio}\ trigonus$ and the allied forms, the different species of the Plicatus group, Unio pustulosus and its allies, Unio coccineus, U. subrotundus, U. kleinianus, and the forms belonging to the Chickasawhensis group which have been placed in the genus Quadrula, all have the embryos contained in all four of the gills, and when they are thus filled they form thick, smooth pods. And there are certain conchological characters which hold good in all these species. Their shells are all solid, short, more or less inflated; they generally have a wide, flat hinge plate and almost invariably deep beak cavities. Many specimens occur among species belonging to the Phicatus group in which the plications are nearly or even wholly wanting, and the epidermis varies from greenish to brown and black. Such specimens are not far removed conchologically from the smoother forms of the Pustulosus group or from U. subrotundus and U. kirtlandianus.

The true Umos, which in the United States are well represented by such forms as *U. complanatus*, *U. buckleyi*, *U. crassidens* and *U. gibbosus*, have longer shells than the Quadrules, they are generally less solid, and as far as I have seen, the beak cavities are comparatively shallow, while the hinge plate is never wide and flat as in Unio pustulosus. In these the embryos are found in the outer gills only.

Mr. Call uses the time honored names Unio, Margaritana and Anodonta for the Indiana Unionidae. These names are applied in a subgeneric sense, but he neglects to tell us what genus he places them under, whether it is Unio of Retzius or the Margaron of Lea. In his artificial key to the groups of Unio, excluding Anodonta and Margaritana, he places the species in groups, typified by U. luteolus, U. ligamentinus, U. crassidens, U. tuberculatus, U. personatus, etc. These groups have been recognized as natural assemblages by Lea, Lewis, Marsh, Wetherby, and most of the other American students of the Unionidae. I consider them as natural and reasonable as any that can be made among large assemblages of nearly related species, and every amateur who gets together a collection of naiades begins to perceive them after a little study. Yet Mr. Call seems to consider them as mere artificial or laboratory devices of little value.

The Anodonta edentula of Say is placed by Mr. Call in the genus Anodonta. In this remarkable species there are more fully developed vestigeal hinge teeth than in any of the true anodontas, and the hinge plate is incurved in front of the beaks, while in Anodonta proper it is evenly curved throughout. This species carries the young in the outer gills in short, distinct ovisaes running directly across the gill, instead of in long ovisacs running vertically, as in the true anodontas. These contain from 8 or 10 to 20 or more embryos and finally break out through the outer walls of the outer gills and are discharged entire, with their contents, into the water. After this the gills assume the ordinary unionoid form. It is probable that a few other species from the southern states which have been placed in Margaritana have similar marsupia. I have examined gravid specimens of most of our American anodontas and of the A. woodiana Lea of China, and in all of them the marsupia are radically different from that of A. edentula.

Mr. Call's synonymy of *Unio clavus* Lamarck is certainly astonishing, and includes species as different in shell characters as can be found among the North American unios.—C. T. S.

THE

Vol. XIV.

NEW VAL

Physa ancillaria var. mag

Shell subglobose, t stronger toward the s very minute or subol apex, which is dark broad white varicose the lip and at the l ing, the first minute shouldered, the great elevated, acute; sutu white; aperture larg below the centre; or broadly rounded belo or liver-colored calls band, extremities cor flected over the bod twisted.

Alt. 12\frac{2}{3}, diam. 11,
Alt. 17\frac{1}{2}, diam. 12\frac{1}{2}
Alt. 13\frac{1}{2}, diam. 10\frac{1}{2}
Types from Frankfo
found at Charlevoix,
City and Port Austit
indicate distribution a